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Abstract
A set of non-linear algebraic equations, which must to be solved using a numerical procedure, for ball’s motion, sliding friction and internal loading distribution computation in a high-speed, single-row, angular-contact ball bearing, subjected to a known combined radial, thrust and moment load, which must be applied to the inner ring’s centre of mass, is introduced. For each step of the procedure it is required the iterative solution of $9Z + 3$ simultaneous non-linear equations – where $Z$ is the number of the balls – to yield exact solution for contact angles, ball attitude angles, rolling radii, normal contact deformations and axial, radial, and angular deflections of the inner ring with respect the outer ring. The focus of this work is obtaining the steady state forces and moments equilibrium conditions on the balls, under the selected external loading, and to describe the numerical aspects of the procedure. The numerical results derived from the described procedure shall be published later.
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Introduction
Ball and roller bearings, generically called rolling bearings, are commonly used machine elements. They are employed to permit rotary motions of, or about, shafts in simple commercial devices such as bicycles, roller skates, and electric motors. They are also used in complex engineering mechanisms such as aircraft gas turbines, rolling mills, dental drills, gyroscopes, and power transmissions.

The standardized forms of ball or roller bearings permit rotary motion between two machine elements and always include a complement of ball or rollers that maintain the shaft and a usually stationary supporting structure, frequently called housing, in a radially or axially spaced-apart relationship. Usually, a bearing may be obtained as a unit, which includes two steel rings each of which has a hardened raceway on which hardened balls or rollers roll. The balls or rollers, also called rolling elements, are usually held in an angularly spaced relationship by a cage, also called a separator or retainer.

There are many different kinds of rolling bearings. This work is concerned with single-row angular-contact ball bearings – see Fig. 1 – which are designed to support combined radial and thrust loads or heavy thrust loads depending on the contact angle magnitude. The bearings having large contact angle can support heavier thrust loads. The figure 1 shows bearings having small and large contact angles. The bearings generally have groove curvature radii in the range of 52-53% of the ball diameter. The contact angle does not usually exceed 40°.

This work is devoted to study of internal load distribution in a high-speed angular-contact ball bearing. Several researchers have studied the subject of internal load distribution in a statically loaded angular-contact ball bearing (see [Striebeck (1907); Sjövall (1933); Jones (1946); Rumbarger (1962); Ricci (2009; 2009a; 2009b; 2009c; 2009d; 2010)]). The methods developed by them to calculate distribution of load among the balls and rollers of rolling bearings can be used in most bearing applications because rotational speeds are usually slow to moderate. Under these speed conditions, the effects of rolling element centrifugal forces and gyroscopic moments are negligible. At high
speeds of rotation these body forces become significant, tending to alter contact angles and clearance. Thus, they can affect the static load distribution to a great extension.

Figure 1. Angular-contact ball bearing

[Harris (2001)] described methods for internal loading distribution in statically loaded bearings addressing pure radial; pure thrust (centric and eccentric loads); combined radial and thrust load, which uses radial and thrust integrals introduced by Sjövall; and for ball bearings under combined radial, thrust, and moment load, initially due to Jones.

When an external load is applied to one of the rings of a rolling bearing it is transmitted through rolling elements to the other ring. Because the internal load distribution on the rolling elements is an important operating characteristic of a bearing a great number of authors have addressing the problem. A literature review on the subject can be found in [Tomović (2012; 2012a)], in which a mathematical model for necessary radial displacement between rings, and a mathematical model for external radial load, so that the \( q \)-th rolling element passes to participate in the load transfer were presented.

In [Tomović (2013)], a model was developed, which enables a very simple determination of the number of active rolling elements participating in an external load transfer, depending on the bearing type and internal radial clearance.

In [Tudose et al. (2013)], the theoretical analysis of a single-row radial bearing with radial clearance under constant external radial load was presented. The analysis was focused on finding the rolling element deflection that allows determining the number of active rolling elements that participate in the load transfer. Taking into account the bearing internal geometry, a mathematical model to calculate the rolling elements deflections during the bearing rotation has been derived.

In [Rasolofondraibe et al. (2012; 2013); Murer et al. (2015)], capacitive probes were inserted into the fixed ring of the bearing such that forms with the raceway a capacitor with variable gap that depends on the transmitted load by the rolling element. A numerical model of this capacitor’s capacitance as a function of transmitted load by the rolling element has been established. An experimental prototype has been established in order to precisely measure the probe’s capacitance. Finally, this technique has been generalized with a capacitive probe in front of each rolling element. Thus, knowing the load transmitted by each of the rolling elements, the external load on the bearing of the rotating machine can be easily reconstructed.

The evaluation of change in contact angle due to applied load is vital in order to study the load carrying capacity of large diameter bearings. Analytical and numerical procedures have been developed to calculate various design factors such as contact angle, contact stress and deformation. In [Starvin et al. (2011)] the change in contact angle of balls was determined by using FEA. The change in contact angle was compared with analytical, FEA and the Newton-Raphson method. The results show a good agreement with the values calculated using Hertz’s relations for deformation. The
FEA method was used to get the nodal solution of contact angle, contact stress and deflection for various loading conditions.

In [Rajasekhar et al. (2013)] the dynamic modeling of a centrally supported symmetrical disk-shaft bearing system has been analyzed using Timoshenko beam elements. Intermittent ball bearing contact forces and Muszynska’s force [Muszynska (1986)] at seal-disk interface were considered in the model to simulate a real-time system. Results show that there was a marked effect of each type of nonlinear excitation on the overall system response.

In [Seong et al. (2014)] a wheel bearing life prediction method, which considers the bearing dynamics characteristics, was proposed. The results were compared with existing formulas and static analyses results from structural dynamics commercial software.

In [Zhenguo et al. (2011)] a unidirectional compression spring was used to model the contact between a rolling element and the raceway of a heavy-duty slewing bearing accounting for the supporting structure flexibility and the plastic deformation of the bearing. The spring constant was determined by the load against elastic-plastic deformation relationship of a single rolling element, which was obtained by finite element contact method. The difference between the traditional Hertz contact results and the FEM results is very obvious for the slewing bearings with plastic deformation, such as contact deflection of the rolling elements and the raceway, load distribution on the rolling elements, stress in the raceway and contact pressure between the rolling elements and the raceway. Therefore, the method based on the Hertz contact mechanics theory is not applicable for the performance analysis of the heavy-duty slewing bearing.

The first great contribution to the study of ball motion, sliding friction and internal load distribution in a high-speed angular-contact ball bearing must be credited to A. B. Jones [Jones (1959; 1960)]. Harris describes the orbital, pivotal and spinning ball’s motions and load distribution in ball bearings, in general reproducing the Jones’s developments. In this work the Jones’s works is revisited and differences are introduced under the yoke of critical analysis, which will be detailed. Then, particularly, in this work, a set of nonlinear algebraic equations, which must to be solved using a numerical procedure, for ball’s motion, sliding friction and internal loading distribution computation in a high-speed, single-row, angular-contact ball bearing, subjected to a known combined radial, thrust and moment load, which must be applied to the inner ring center of mass, is introduced. For each step of the procedure it is required the iterative solution of \( 9Z + 3 \) simultaneous non-linear equations – where \( Z \) is the number of the balls – to yield exact solution for contact angles, ball attitude angles, rolling radii, normal contact deformations and axial, radial, and angular deflections of the inner ring with respect the outer ring. The focus of this work is obtaining the steady state forces and moments equilibrium conditions on the balls, under the selected external loading, and to describe the numerical aspects of the procedure. The numerical results derived from the described procedure shall be published later.

**Mathematical model**

Having defined in other works analytical expressions for bearing geometry and the contact stress and deformations for a given ball or roller-raceway contact (point or line loading) in terms of load (see, e.g., [Harris (2001)]) it is possible to consider how the bearing load is distributed among the rolling elements. In this section a specific internal loading distribution resulting from a combined radial, thrust, and moment external load, which must be applied to the center of mass of the inner ring of a high speed ball bearing, is considered.

The Fig. 2 shows the displacements of an inner ring related to the outer ring due to a generalized loading system including radial, axial, and moment loads. The Fig. 3 shows the relative angular position of each ball in the bearing.
Let a ball bearing with \( Z \) balls, each with diameter \( D \), symmetrically distributed about a pitch circle according to Fig. 3, to be subjected to a combined radial, thrust, and moment load applied to the inner ring’s center of mass. Then, a relative axial displacement, \( \delta_a \), a relative angular displacement, \( \theta \), and a relative radial displacement, \( \delta_r \), between the inner and outer ring raceways may be expected according Fig. 2. Let \( \psi = 0 \) to be the angular position of the maximum loaded ball.

![Figure 2. Displacements of an inner ring (outer ring fixed) due to a combined radial, axial, and moment external loading](image1)

![Figure 3. Ball angular positions in the radial plane that is perpendicular to the bearing’s axis of rotation, \( \Delta \psi = 2\pi/Z, \psi_j = 2\pi(j-1)/Z, j = 1...Z \), in which \( Z \) is the number of balls](image2)

Under zero load the centers of raceway groove curvature radii are separated by a distance \( A \) given by

\[
A = (f_o + f_i - 1)D, \tag{1}
\]

in which \( f_o, f_i \) are the conformities for outer and inner raceways, respectively.

Under an applied static load, the distance \( s \) between centers will increase from \( A \) to \( A \) plus the amount of the contact deformation \( \delta_o \) plus \( \delta_{ro} \), as show by Fig. 4. The line of action between centers is collinear with \( A \). If, however, a centrifugal force acts on the ball, then because the inner and outer raceway contact angles are dissimilar, the line of action between raceway groove curvature radii centers is not collinear with \( A \), but is discontinuous as indicated by Fig. 5. It is assumed in Fig. 5 that the outer raceway groove curvature center is fixed in space and the inner raceway groove curvature center moves relative to that fixed center. Moreover, the ball center shifts by virtue of the dissimilar contact angles.

The Fig. 5 when compared with similar figures in [Harris (2001)] and [Jones (1960)] shows minor differences. The inner contact angle must be \( \beta_{ij} + \theta \cos \psi_j \) rather than \( \beta_{ij} \), to take into account the tilting of the rigid inner ring with respect the rigid outer ring, during the external loading application. Furthermore, since the problem is to be solved numerically, no makes sense to linearize the distances between the final and initial inner raceway groove curvature center positions, as done in previous works.

In accordance with Fig. 5 the distance between the fixed outer raceway groove curvature center and the final position of the ball center at any ball location \( j \) is
\[
\Delta_{0j} = r_o - \frac{D}{2} + \delta_{oij}. \tag{2}
\]

Since \(r_o = f_o D\),
\[
\Delta_{oij} = (f_o - 0.5)D + \delta_{oij}. \tag{3}
\]

Similarly, the distance between the moving inner raceway groove curvature center and the final position of the ball center at any ball location \(j\) is
\[
\Delta_{ij} = (f_i - 0.5)D + \delta_{ij}, \tag{4}
\]
in which \(\delta_{oij}\) and \(\delta_{ij}\) are the normal contact deformations at the outer and inner raceway contacts, respectively.

In accordance with the relative axial displacement between inner and outer rings mass centers, \(\delta_a\), and the relative angular displacement \(\theta\), the axial distance between inner and outer raceway groove curvature centers at ball position \(j\) is
\[
s_{xj} = A \sin \beta_f + \delta_a + R \sin \theta \cos \psi_j, \tag{5}
\]
in which
\[
R = \frac{1}{2}d_c + (f_i - \frac{1}{2})D \cos \beta_f \tag{6}
\]
is the radius to locus of inner raceway groove curvature centers, \(d_c\) is the unloaded pitch diameter, and \(\beta_f\) is the unloaded contact angle. Further, in accordance with the relative radial displacement between inner and outer rings mass centers, \(\delta_r\), and the relative angular displacement \(\theta\), the radial distance between inner and outer groove curvature centers at each ball location \(j\) is
\[
s_{zj} = A \cos \beta_f + \delta_r \cos \psi_j - R(1 - \cos \theta) \sqrt{\cos^2 \psi_j}. \tag{7}
\]

Since the iterative techniques of the Newton-Raphson method will be used to solve the associated nonlinear equations, the angles \(\beta_{oij}\) and \(\beta_{ij}\) are best stated in terms of the co-ordinates \(V\) and \(W\), in Fig. 5. Then
\[
\sin \beta_{oij} = \frac{w_j}{(f_o - 0.5)D + \delta_{oij}}, \tag{8}
\]
\[
\cos \beta_{ij} = \frac{v_j}{(f_o - 0.5)D + \delta_{ij}}, \\
\sin (\beta_{ij} + \theta \cos \phi_j) = \frac{s_{xj} - W_j}{(f_i - 0.5)D + \delta_{ij}}, \\
\cos (\beta_{ij} + \theta \cos \phi_j) = \frac{s_{zj} - V_j}{(f_i - 0.5)D + \delta_{ij}}.
\]

Similarly, the ball angular speed about its own center pitch and yaw angles, \( \alpha_j \) and \( \alpha'_j \), are best stated in terms of the ball angular velocity components: \( \omega_{x'j} \), \( \omega_{y'j} \), and \( \omega_{z'j} \); in which \( x' \), \( y' \), and \( z' \) are the axes of the coordinate frame whose origin is at the ball center; \( x' \) is parallel to the longitudinal axis of the bearing around which the balls circulate in its orbital motion, and \( z' \) is the radial axis. Then

\[
\sin \alpha_j = \frac{\omega_{x'j}}{\sqrt{\omega_{x'j}^2 + \omega_{y'j}^2 + \omega_{z'j}^2}}, \\
\cos \alpha_j = \frac{\omega_{y'j}}{\sqrt{\omega_{x'j}^2 + \omega_{y'j}^2 + \omega_{z'j}^2}}, \\
\sin \alpha'_j = \frac{\omega_{y'j}}{\sqrt{\omega_{x'j}^2 + \omega_{y'j}^2}}, \\
\cos \alpha'_j = \frac{\omega_{z'j}}{\sqrt{\omega_{x'j}^2 + \omega_{y'j}^2}}.
\]

Using the Pythagorean Theorem, it can be seen from figure 5 that

\[
(s_{xj} - V_j)^2 + (s_{xj} - W_j)^2 - [(f_i - 0.5)D + \delta_{ij}]^2 = 0 = \epsilon_j, \\
V_j^2 + W_j^2 - [(f_o - 0.5)D + \delta_{oj}]^2 = 0 = \epsilon_{j+2z}.
\]

From (12)-(15)

\[
\omega_{x'j}^2 + \omega_{y'j}^2 + \omega_{z'j}^2 - \omega_{Rj}^2 = 0 = \epsilon_{j+2z}, \quad \omega_{Rj} = \sqrt{\omega_{x'j}^2 + \omega_{y'j}^2 + \omega_{z'j}^2}.
\]

For steady state operation of a ball bearing at high speed, the forces and moments acting on each ball are as shown by figure 6.
The normal ball loads are related to normal contact deformations by

\[ Q_{oj} = K_{oj} \delta_{oj}^{1.5}, \quad Q_{ij} = K_{ij} \delta_{ij}^{1.5}, \]  

in which \( K_{oj} \) and \( K_{ij} \) are functions of contact angles [Harris (2001)].

From Fig. 6 considering the three axes equilibrium forces, yields

\[ Q_{ij} \sin (\beta_{ij} + \theta \cos \psi_j) - Q_{oj} \sin \beta_{oj} - F_{xij} \cos (\beta_{ij} + \theta \cos \psi_j) + F_{xoj} \cos \beta_{oj} = 0, \]  
\[ Q_{ij} \cos (\beta_{ij} + \theta \cos \psi_j) - Q_{oj} \cos \beta_{oj} + F_{xij} \sin (\beta_{ij} + \theta \cos \psi_j) - F_{xoj} \sin \beta_{oj} + F_{z} = 0, \]  
\[ F_{yij} + F_{yi} = 0 = \epsilon_{j+3Z}, \]  

Substituting (8)-(11) and (19) into (20)-(21) yields

\[ \frac{F_{xoj}V_j - K_{oj} \delta_{oj}^{1.5} W_j}{(f_0-0.5)D+\delta_{oj}} + \frac{K_{ij} \delta_{ij}^{1.5} (s_{xj}-W_j) - F_{xij} (s_{xj}-V_j)}{(f_1-0.5)D+\delta_{ij}} = 0 = \epsilon_{j+3Z}, \]  
\[ \frac{K_{oj} \delta_{oj}^{1.5} V_j + F_{xoj} W_j}{(f_0-0.5)D+\delta_{oj}} - \frac{K_{ij} \delta_{ij}^{1.5} (s_{xj}-V_j) + F_{xij} (s_{xj}-W_j)}{(f_1-0.5)D+\delta_{ij}} = F_{z}'_j = 0 = \epsilon_{j+4Z}. \]  

From Fig. 6 considering the three axes equilibrium moments, yields

\[ -M_{xij} \sin (\beta_{ij} + \theta \cos \psi_j) + M_{soj} \sin \beta_{oj} - M_{Rij} \cos (\beta_{ij} + \theta \cos \psi_j) + M_{Roj} \cos \beta_{oj} = 0, \]  
\[ -M_{xij} \cos (\beta_{ij} + \theta \cos \psi_j) + M_{soj} \cos \beta_{oj} + M_{Rij} \sin (\beta_{ij} + \theta \cos \psi_j) - M_{Roj} \sin \beta_{oj} + M_{zj} = 0, \]  
\[ M_{yj}' - M_{yij} - M_{yoj} = 0 = \epsilon_{j+8Z}. \]  

Substituting (8)-(11) into (25)-(26) yields

\[ \frac{M_{Roj} V_j + M_{soj} W_j}{(f_0-0.5)D+\delta_{oj}} - \frac{M_{xij} (s_{xj}-W_j) + M_{Rij} (s_{xj}-V_j)}{(f_1-0.5)D+\delta_{ij}} = 0 = \epsilon_{j+6Z}. \]
\[
\frac{M_{x_0} V_j - M_{R_0} W_j}{(f_0 - 0.5)D + \delta_{o_j}} - \frac{M_{s_i}(s_{x_j} - V_j) - M_{R_i}(s_{x_j} - W_j)}{(f_i - 0.5)D + \delta_{i_j}} + M_{z_j'} = 0 = \epsilon_j + \gamma z. \tag{29}
\]

The centrifugal force acting on the ball at angular position \(\psi_j\) is given by [Harris (2001)]

\[
F_{z_j'} = \frac{1}{2} m d_{m_j} \omega_{m_j}^2,
\tag{30}
\]

in which \(m\) is the mass of ball,

\[
d_{m_j} = d_e + 2\left[V_j - (f_0 - \frac{1}{2})D\cos\beta_j\right]
\tag{31}
\]
is the operational ball’s pitch diameter at position \(j\), and \(\omega_{m_j}\) is the absolute orbital speed of the ball about the bearing axis.

Substituting the identity \(\omega_{m_j}^2 = (\omega_{m_j}/\omega)^2 \omega^2\) in (30) gives

\[
F_{z_j'} = \frac{1}{2} m \omega^2 d_{m_j} \left(\frac{\omega_{m_j}}{\omega}\right)^2.
\tag{32}
\]
in which \(\omega\) is the absolute angular velocity of the rotating ring.

For the outer race to be stationary \(\omega_{m_j} = -\omega_{o_j}, \omega = \omega_{ij} + \omega_{m_j}\),

\[
\frac{\omega_{m_j}}{\omega} = \frac{1}{r_{ij}^2 \frac{d_{m_j}}{2} [f_0 - 0.5]D + \delta_{o_j} + r_{ij}^2 V_j] \left[\omega_{x_j}(s_{x_j} - V_j) + \omega_{z_j}(s_{x_j} - W_j)\right]}
\tag{33}
\]
and

\[
\frac{\omega_{R_j}}{\omega} = \frac{-\left(\omega_{x_j}^2 + \omega_{z_j}^2 + \omega_{x_j}^2\right)}{r_{ij}^2 \frac{d_{m_j}}{2} [f_0 - 0.5]D + \delta_{o_j} + r_{ij}^2 V_j] \left[\omega_{x_j}(s_{x_j} - V_j) + \omega_{z_j}(s_{x_j} - W_j)\right]}
\tag{34}
\]
in which \(\omega_{ij}, \omega_{o_j}\) are the angular velocities about the bearing axis of the inner and outer rings with respect to the ball at position \(j\), and \(r_{ij}^2, r_{ij}^2\) are the inner and outer rolling radii [Harris (2001)].

Likewise, for the inner race to be stationary \(\omega_{m_j} = -\omega_{o_j}, \omega = \omega_{ij} + \omega_{m_j}\),

\[
\frac{\omega_{m_j}}{\omega} = \frac{1}{r_{ij}^2 \frac{d_{m_j}}{2} [f_0 - 0.5]D + \delta_{i_j} - r_{ij}^2 V_j] \left[\omega_{x_j}(s_{x_j} - V_j) + \omega_{z_j}(s_{x_j} - W_j)\right]}
\tag{35}
\]
and \(\omega_{R_j}/\omega\) is given by (34) with opposite sign.

Similarly, the gyroscopic moments acting on the ball at angular position \(\psi_j\) are given by [Harris (2001)]

\[
M_{y_j'} = J \omega^2 \left(\frac{\omega_{R_j}}{\omega}\right) \left(\frac{\omega_{m_j}}{\omega}\right) \frac{\omega_{x_j}^2}{\omega_{x_j}^2 + \omega_{y_j}^2 + \omega_{z_j}^2},
\tag{36}
\]
and
\[ M_{x'j} = -J \omega^2 \left( \frac{\omega_R}{\omega} \right) \left( \frac{\omega_m}{\omega} \right) \frac{\omega_{y'j}}{\sqrt{\omega_x^2 + \omega_{y'j}^2 + \omega_z^2}}, \tag{37} \]

in which \( J \) is the ball’s mass moment of inertia.

The friction forces due to sliding in the \( x \) and \( y \)-directions of inner and outer ball-raceway elliptical contact areas are given by [Harris (2001)]

\[
F_{xij} = \frac{3 \mu K_{ij} \delta_{ij}^{1.5}}{2\pi a_{ij} b_{ij}} \int_{-a_{ij}}^{b_{ij}} \int_{-b_{ij}}^{b_{ij}} \sqrt{1 - \frac{x_{ij}^2}{a_{ij}^2} - \frac{y_{ij}^2}{b_{ij}^2}} \sin y_{ij} \ dy_{ij} \ dx_{ij}, \tag{38} \]

\[
F_{xoj} = \frac{3 \mu K_{oij} \delta_{oj}^{1.5}}{2\pi a_{oij} b_{oij}} \int_{-a_{oij}}^{b_{oij}} \int_{-b_{oij}}^{b_{oij}} \sqrt{1 - \frac{x_{oij}^2}{a_{oij}^2} - \frac{y_{oij}^2}{b_{oij}^2}} \sin y_{oij} \ dy_{oij} \ dx_{oij}, \tag{39} \]

\[
F_{yij} = \frac{3 \mu K_{ij} \delta_{ij}^{1.5}}{2\pi a_{ij} b_{ij}} \int_{-a_{ij}}^{b_{ij}} \int_{-b_{ij}}^{b_{ij}} \sqrt{1 - \frac{x_{ij}^2}{a_{ij}^2} - \frac{y_{ij}^2}{b_{ij}^2}} \cos y_{ij} \ dy_{ij} \ dx_{ij}, \tag{40} \]

\[
F_{yoj} = \frac{3 \mu K_{oij} \delta_{oj}^{1.5}}{2\pi a_{oij} b_{oij}} \int_{-a_{oij}}^{b_{oij}} \int_{-b_{oij}}^{b_{oij}} \sqrt{1 - \frac{x_{oij}^2}{a_{oij}^2} - \frac{y_{oij}^2}{b_{oij}^2}} \cos y_{oij} \ dy_{oij} \ dx_{oij}, \tag{41} \]

in which \( \mu \) is the friction coefficient; \( a_{ij}, b_{ij}, a_{oij}, \) and \( b_{oij} \) are semimajor and semiminor-axes of inner and outer pressure ellipses; \( x_{ij}, y_{ij}, x_{oij}, y_{oij} \) are the co-ordinates of an element of area, \( dy \ dx \), inside the contact ellipse, which has a resultant velocity of slip \( V \) of the race on the ball acting at the angle \( \gamma \) with respect to the \( y \)-direction, which are given by

\[
\gamma_{ij} = \tan^{-1} \frac{y_{ij}}{x_{ij}}, \quad \gamma_{oij} = \tan^{-1} \frac{y_{oij}}{x_{oij}}, \quad (42) \]

\[
V_{xij}, V_{yij}, V_{xoj}, V_{yoj}, \omega_{xij}, \text{and } \omega_{xoj} \text{ are the relative linear and angular slip velocities of inner and outer races with respect to the ball located at position } j. \text{ The terms involving these velocities for use in (42) are given by [Harris (2001)]} \]

\[
V_{xij} = \frac{(R^2-x_{ij}^2)^{1/2} - (R^2-y_{ij}^2)^{1/2} + \left( \frac{D}{2} \right)^2 - a_{ij}^2}{(f_i-0.5)D + \delta_{ij}r_{ij} \frac{(s_{xj}-V_j)}{a_{mij}}} \omega_{x'j} + \left( \frac{s_{xj}-W_j}{a_{mij}} \right) \omega_{x'j}, \tag{43} \]

\[
V_{yij} = \frac{(R^2-x_{ij}^2)^{1/2} - (R^2-y_{ij}^2)^{1/2} + \left( \frac{D}{2} \right)^2 - a_{ij}^2}{(f_i-0.5)D + \delta_{ij}r_{ij} \frac{(s_{xj}-V_j)}{a_{mij}}} \omega_{x'j} + \left( \frac{s_{xj}-W_j}{a_{mij}} \right) \omega_{x'j}, \tag{44} \]
in which \( R_i \) and \( R_o \) are the curvature radii of deformed surfaces, given by

\[
R_i = \frac{2f_iD}{2f_i+1} \quad \quad R_o = \frac{2f_oD}{2f_o+1}.
\]

The total frictional moments of the friction forces about the normal at the center of the contact ellipse are [Harris (2001)]

\[
M_{xij} = \frac{3\mu K_i \delta_{ij}^5}{2\pi a_i b_j} \int_{-a_j}^{a_j} \int_{-b_j}^{b_j} 1 - \frac{x^2_{ij}}{a_i^2} - \frac{y^2_{ij}}{b_j^2} \cos \left( y_{ij} - \tan^{-1} \frac{y_{ij}}{x_{ij}} \right) \ dy_{ij} \ dx_{ij},
\]

\[
M_{xoj} = \frac{3\mu K_o \delta_{oj}^5}{2\pi a_o b_o} \int_{-a_o}^{a_o} \int_{-b_o}^{b_o} 1 - \frac{x^2_{oj}}{a_o^2} - \frac{y^2_{oj}}{b_o^2} \cos \left( y_{oj} - \tan^{-1} \frac{y_{oj}}{x_{oj}} \right) \ dy_{oj} \ dx_{oj}.
\]

The moments of the friction forces about the \( y' \)-axis are [Harris (2001)]

\[
M_{yij} = \frac{3\mu K_i \delta_{ij}^5}{2\pi a_i b_j} \int_{-a_j}^{a_j} \int_{-b_j}^{b_j} 1 - \frac{x^2_{ij}}{a_i^2} - \frac{y^2_{ij}}{b_j^2} \cos \left( y_{ij} - \tan^{-1} \frac{y_{ij}}{x_{ij}} \right) \ dy_{ij} \ dx_{ij},
\]

\[
M_{yoj} = \frac{3\mu K_o \delta_{oj}^5}{2\pi a_o b_o} \int_{-a_o}^{a_o} \int_{-b_o}^{b_o} 1 - \frac{x^2_{oj}}{a_o^2} - \frac{y^2_{oj}}{b_o^2} \cos \left( y_{oj} - \tan^{-1} \frac{y_{oj}}{x_{oj}} \right) \ dy_{oj} \ dx_{oj}.
\]

The frictional moments about an axis through the ball center perpendicular to the line defining the contact angle, which lies in the \( x'z' \)-plane, are [Harris (2001)]

\[
M_{Rij} = \frac{3\mu K_i \delta_{ij}^5}{2\pi a_i b_j} \int_{-a_j}^{a_j} \int_{-b_j}^{b_j} 1 - \frac{x^2_{ij}}{a_i^2} - \frac{y^2_{ij}}{b_j^2} \cos \left( y_{ij} - \tan^{-1} \frac{y_{ij}}{x_{ij}} \right) \ dy_{ij} \ dx_{ij},
\]

\[
M_{Roj} = \frac{3\mu K_o \delta_{oj}^5}{2\pi a_o b_o} \int_{-a_o}^{a_o} \int_{-b_o}^{b_o} 1 - \frac{x^2_{oj}}{a_o^2} - \frac{y^2_{oj}}{b_o^2} \cos \left( y_{oj} - \tan^{-1} \frac{y_{oj}}{x_{oj}} \right) \ dy_{oj} \ dx_{oj}.
\]

Equations (16)-(18), (22)-(24) and (27)-(29) may be solved simultaneously for \( V_i, W_j, \delta_{ij}, \delta_{oj}, r_{ij}, r_{oj}, \omega_{ij}, \omega_{oj}, \), and \( \omega_{zj} \) at each ball angular location once values for \( \delta_o, \delta_i, \), and \( \theta \) are assumed.
An iterative procedure is to be used to solve the equations simultaneously. Since $K_{ij}$ and $K_{ii}$ are functions of contact angle, equations (8)-(11) may be used to establish $K_{ij}$ and $K_{ii}$ values iteratively.

To find the values of $\delta_i$, $\delta_r$, and $\theta$, it remains to establish the equilibrium conditions of forces and moments about the inner ring center of mass, as shown by Fig. 7, which are

\[
F_a - \sum_{j=1}^{Z} \left[ \frac{K_{ij}\delta^h_j(s_{xj}-W_j) - F_x(s_{xj}-V_j)}{(f_i-0.5)D+\delta_{ij}} \right] = 0 = \epsilon_{gZ+1},
\]

\[
F_r - \sum_{j=1}^{Z} \left[ \frac{K_{ij}\delta^h_j(s_{zj}-V_j)-F_z(s_{zj}-W_j)}{(f_i-0.5)D+\delta_{ij}} \right] \cos \psi_j = 0 = \epsilon_{gZ+2},
\]

\[
M - \sum_{j=1}^{Z} \left\{ \mathbf{K}_i[\delta^h_j \sin \beta_j - F_x(s_{xj} - r/s_0)] \cos \psi_j - \left( F_y s_j \sin \beta_j - M_{s_0} \cos \beta_j \right) \sin \psi_j \right\} = 0 = \epsilon_{gZ+3},
\]

in which $F_a$, $F_r$, and $M$ are external forces and moment applied to the inner ring center of mass.

Having computed values for $V_j$, $W_j$, $\delta_{ij}$, $r'_{ij}$, $r''_{ij}$, $\omega_{x'}$, $\omega_{y'}$, and $\omega_{z'}$ at each angular position and knowing $F_a$, $F_r$, and $M$ as input conditions the values of $\delta_i$, $\delta_r$, and $\theta$ may be computed by equations (54)-(56). After obtaining the primary unknown quantities $\delta_i$, $\delta_r$, and $\theta$ it is necessary to repeat the calculation of $V_j$, $W_j$, $\delta_{ij}$, $r'_{ij}$, $r''_{ij}$, $\omega_{x'}$, $\omega_{y'}$, $\omega_{z'}$, until compatible values of primary unknown quantities $\delta_i$, $\delta_r$, and $\theta$ are obtained.

**Numerical procedure**

Equations (16)-(18), (22)-(24), (27)-(29), and (54)-(56) may be written as

\[
\epsilon_g(\delta_h) = 0, \quad g = 1, \ldots, 9Z + 3,
\]

in which $\delta_1 = V_1$, $\ldots$, $\delta_9 = V_9$, $\delta_{10} = W_1$, $\ldots$, $\delta_{9Z} = W_9$, $\delta_{9Z+1} = \delta_0$, $\ldots$, $\delta_{9Z+1} = \delta_0$, $\delta_{9Z+2} = r'$, $\ldots$, $\delta_{9Z+2} = r''$, $\delta_{9Z+3} = \omega_{x'}$, $\ldots$, $\delta_{9Z+3} = \omega_{z'}$, $\delta_{9Z+4} = \omega_{y'}$, $\ldots$, $\delta_{9Z+2} = \omega_{y'}$, $\delta_{9Z+3} = \omega_{z'}$, $\delta_{9Z+4} = \omega_{y'}$, $\ldots$, $\delta_{9Z+2} = \omega_{y'}$.

The first 9Z equations from (57) must be solved simultaneously for $\delta_1$, $\ldots$, $\delta_9$ once values for $\delta_{9Z+1}, \ldots, \delta_{9Z+3}$ are assumed. If $\delta_h^0$, $h = 1, \ldots, 9Z$, is a 9Z-dimensional vector with the initial estimates of the variables $\delta_1, \ldots, \delta_9$, improved values are given by

\[
\delta_h^0 = \delta_h^0 - \left[ a_{gh} \right]^{-1} \left\{ \epsilon_g \right\},
\]

in which $\left\{ \epsilon_g \right\}$, $g = 1, \ldots, 9Z$, is the 9Z-dimensional vector with the first 9Z errors functions from (1). The elements of the square 9Zx9Z-matrix $[a_{gh}]$ are

\[
a_{jh} = -2(s_{xj} - \delta_j) \frac{\partial \delta_j}{\partial \delta_h} - 2(s_{xj} - \delta_{z+j}) \frac{\partial \delta_{z+j}}{\partial \delta_h} - 2[(f_i - 0.5)D + \delta_{3z+j}] \frac{\partial \delta_{3z+j}}{\partial \delta_h},
\]

\[
a_{(j+Z)h} = 2 \delta_j \frac{\partial \delta_j}{\partial \delta_h} + 2 \delta_{z+j} \frac{\partial \delta_{z+j}}{\partial \delta_h} - 2[(f_i - 0.5)D + \delta_{2z+j}] \frac{\partial \delta_{2z+j}}{\partial \delta_h},
\]

\[
a_{(j+2Z)h} = 2 \delta_{2z+j} \frac{\partial \delta_{2z+j}}{\partial \delta_h} + 2 \delta_{z+2z+j} \frac{\partial \delta_{z+2z+j}}{\partial \delta_h} + 2 \delta_{2z+2z+j} \frac{\partial \delta_{2z+2z+j}}{\partial \delta_h},
\]

\[
a_{(j+3Z)h} = \left[ \frac{\partial \delta_j}{\partial \delta_h} \right]_{(j=0), (Z=0)} - \left[ \frac{\partial \delta_{z+j}}{\partial \delta_h} \right]_{(j=0), (Z=0)} + \left[ \frac{\partial \delta_{z+j}}{\partial \delta_h} \right]_{(j=0), (Z=0)} - \left[ \frac{\partial \delta_{3z+j}}{\partial \delta_h} \right]_{(j=0), (Z=0)}
\]

\[
a_{(j+4Z)h} = \left[ \frac{\partial \delta_{2z+j}}{\partial \delta_h} \right]_{(j=0), (Z=0)} - \left[ \frac{\partial \delta_{z+2z+j}}{\partial \delta_h} \right]_{(j=0), (Z=0)} + \left[ \frac{\partial \delta_{z+2z+j}}{\partial \delta_h} \right]_{(j=0), (Z=0)} - \left[ \frac{\partial \delta_{2z+2z+j}}{\partial \delta_h} \right]_{(j=0), (Z=0)}
\]
The moments $M_{ij}$, $M_{oij}$, $M_{Rij}$, $M_{Roi}$ and $M_{ij}$ to be used in (65) and (66) are given by (48), (49), (52), (53) and (37) and their differentiation with respect to $\delta h$, $h = 1, \ldots, 9Z$, yields

$$\frac{\partial M_{ij}}{\partial \delta h} = -\frac{3\mu K_{i} \delta_{Z+1}^{ij}}{2\pi a_{ij} b_{ij}} \int_{-a_{ij}}^{b_{ij}} \left[ 1 - \frac{x_{ij}^{2}}{a_{ij}^{2}} \right] \frac{y_{ij} \sin y_{ij}}{b_{ij}} \frac{\partial y_{ij}}{\partial \delta h} d\chi_{ij} + \frac{3}{2Z+1} \frac{F_{ij}}{2\delta_{Z+1}} \frac{\partial y_{ij}}{\partial \delta h}.$$ (72)

The moments $M_{Roi}$ to be used in (65) and (66) are given by (52), (53) and (37) and their differentiation with respect to $\delta h$, $h = 1, \ldots, 9Z$, yields

$$\frac{\partial M_{Roi}}{\partial \delta h} = \frac{3\mu K_{oi} \delta_{Z+1}^{oi}}{2\pi a_{oi} b_{oi}} \int_{-a_{oi}}^{b_{oi}} \left[ 1 - \frac{x_{oi}^{2}}{a_{oi}^{2}} \right] \frac{y_{oi} \sin y_{oi}}{b_{oi}} \frac{\partial y_{oi}}{\partial \delta h} d\chi_{oi} + \frac{3}{2Z+1} \frac{F_{oi}}{2\delta_{Z+1}} \frac{\partial y_{oi}}{\partial \delta h}.$$ (53)

The forces $F_{zij}$, $F_{xij}$ and $F_{yij}$ to be used in (62) and (63) are given by (32), (38) and (39) and their differentiation with respect to $\delta h$, $h = 1, \ldots, 9Z$, yields

$$\frac{\partial F_{zij}}{\partial \delta h} = m \omega \left[ \left( \frac{\omega_{mj}}{\omega} \right)^{2} \frac{\partial \delta_{ij}}{\partial h} + d_{mj} \frac{\partial \omega_{m}}{\partial \delta h} \right].$$ (69)

$$\frac{\partial F_{xij}}{\partial \delta h} = \frac{3\mu K_{i} \delta_{Z+1}^{ij}}{2\pi a_{ij} b_{ij}} \int_{-a_{ij}}^{b_{ij}} \left[ 1 - \frac{x_{ij}^{2}}{a_{ij}^{2}} \right] \frac{y_{ij}^{2} \cos y_{ij}}{b_{ij}} \frac{\partial y_{ij}}{\partial \delta h} dx_{ij} + \frac{3}{2Z+1} \frac{F_{xij}}{2\delta_{Z+1}} \frac{\partial y_{ij}}{\partial \delta h}. $$ (70)

$$\frac{\partial F_{yij}}{\partial \delta h} = \frac{3\mu K_{oi} \delta_{Z+1}^{oi}}{2\pi a_{oi} b_{oi}} \int_{-a_{oi}}^{b_{oi}} \left[ 1 - \frac{x_{oi}^{2}}{a_{oi}^{2}} \right] \frac{y_{oi} \sin y_{oi}}{b_{oi}} \frac{\partial y_{oi}}{\partial \delta h} dx_{oi} + \frac{3}{2Z+1} \frac{F_{yij}}{2\delta_{Z+1}} \frac{\partial y_{oi}}{\partial \delta h}. $$ (71)

$$\frac{\partial M_{ij}}{\partial \delta h} = -\frac{3\mu K_{i} \delta_{Z+1}^{ij}}{2\pi a_{ij} b_{ij}} \int_{-a_{ij}}^{b_{ij}} \left[ 1 - \frac{x_{ij}^{2}}{a_{ij}^{2}} \right] \frac{y_{ij} \sin y_{ij}}{b_{ij}} \frac{\partial y_{ij}}{\partial \delta h} dx_{ij} + \frac{3}{2Z+1} \frac{M_{ij}}{2\delta_{Z+1}} \frac{\partial y_{ij}}{\partial \delta h}. $$ (73)
The moments \( M_{ij}, M_{oij} \) and \( M_{ij}' \) to be used in (67) are given by (50), (51) and (36) and their differentiation with respect to \( \delta_h, h = 1, \ldots, 9Z \) yields

\[
\frac{\partial M_{ij}}{\partial \delta_h} = -\frac{3uK_{ij} d_{ij}}{2 \pi a_{ij} \sigma_{ij}} \int_{a_{ij}}^{b_{ij}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{R_i^2 - x_j^2 - a_j^2 + \left(\frac{b}{2}\right)^2 - a_j^2}} \left[ \frac{y_j}{a_j} \sin y_j \frac{\partial y_j}{\partial \delta_h} d(x_j) + \frac{3 M_{ij} d_{ij}}{2 \delta_{z_{ij}} \delta_{h}} \right] d\delta_h
\]

(74)

\[
\frac{\partial M_{oij}}{\partial \delta_h} = \frac{3uK_{oij} d_{oij}}{2 \pi a_{oij} b_{oij}} \int_{a_{oij}}^{b_{oij}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{R_i^2 - x_j^2 - a_j^2 + \left(\frac{b}{2}\right)^2 - a_j^2}} \left[ \frac{y_j}{a_j} \sin y_j \frac{\partial y_j}{\partial \delta_h} d(x_j) + \frac{3 M_{oij} d_{oij}}{2 \delta_{z_{ij}} \delta_{h}} \right] d\delta_h
\]

(75)

\[
\frac{\partial M_{ij}'}{\partial \delta_h} = -J_0^2 \left[ \frac{d_{z_{ij}}}{2 \delta_{z_{ij}} + \delta_{z_{ij}} + \delta_{z_{ij}}} \left( \omega_{ij} \frac{\partial y_j}{\partial \delta_h} \right) + \frac{d_{z_{ij}}}{2 \delta_{z_{ij}} + \delta_{z_{ij}} + \delta_{z_{ij}}} \left( \omega_{ij} \frac{\partial y_j}{\partial \delta_h} \right) \right] \frac{\delta_{z_{ij}}}{\delta_{z_{ij}} + \delta_{z_{ij}} + \delta_{z_{ij}}}
\]

(76)

\[
\frac{\partial M_{ij}}{\partial \delta_h} = -J_0^2 \left[ \frac{d_{z_{ij}}}{2 \delta_{z_{ij}} + \delta_{z_{ij}} + \delta_{z_{ij}}} \left( \omega_{ij} \frac{\partial y_j}{\partial \delta_h} \right) + \frac{d_{z_{ij}}}{2 \delta_{z_{ij}} + \delta_{z_{ij}} + \delta_{z_{ij}}} \left( \omega_{ij} \frac{\partial y_j}{\partial \delta_h} \right) \right] \frac{\delta_{z_{ij}}}{\delta_{z_{ij}} + \delta_{z_{ij}} + \delta_{z_{ij}}}
\]

(77)

As in (38)-(41) and (48)-(53), also in (69)-(76), (78) e (79) \( \gamma_{ij}, \gamma_{oij} \) are given by (42). The derivatives of \( \gamma_{ij}, \gamma_{oij} \) with respect \( \delta_h, h = 1, \ldots, 9Z \) to be used in (81) are given by

\[
\frac{\partial \gamma_{ij}}{\partial \delta_h} = -\left( x_{ij} + \frac{V_{xij}}{\omega_{ij}} \right) \frac{\partial (V_{xij} \omega_{ij})}{\partial \delta_h} \frac{\gamma_{ij}}{\omega_{ij}} + \left( x_{ij} + \frac{V_{xij}}{\omega_{ij}} \right)^2 \frac{\partial \gamma_{ij}}{\partial \delta_h},
\]

\[
\frac{\partial \gamma_{oij}}{\partial \delta_h} = -\left( x_{oij} + \frac{V_{xoj}}{\omega_{oij}} \right) \frac{\partial (V_{xoj} \omega_{oij})}{\partial \delta_h} \frac{\gamma_{oij}}{\omega_{oij}} + \left( x_{oij} + \frac{V_{xoj}}{\omega_{oij}} \right)^2 \frac{\partial \gamma_{oij}}{\partial \delta_h},
\]

(81)

in which \( V_{xij}/\omega_{ij}, V_{xoj}/\omega_{oij}, V_{xoj}/\omega_{oij}, V_{xoj}/\omega_{oij} \) are given by (43)-(46). The derivatives of \( V_{xij}/\omega_{ij}, V_{xoj}/\omega_{oij}, V_{xoj}/\omega_{oij} \) and \( V_{xoj}/\omega_{oij} \) with respect \( \delta_h, h = 1, \ldots, 9Z \), to be used in (81) are given by
For the outer race to be stationary $\omega_{mj}/\omega$ and $\omega_{Rj}/\omega$ are given by (33)-(34). The derivatives of (33) and (34) with respect to $\delta_n$, $h = 1, \ldots, 9Z$, to be used in (68), (77) and (80) are given by

(82)

\[
\frac{d(Y_{mj})}{d\delta_n} = \left\{ \begin{array}{l}
\frac{2}{\omega_m} \left[ \frac{1}{\omega_m} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{9Z} \frac{\delta_{hi} \omega_{Rj}}{\omega_m} \left( \frac{\omega_{Rj}}{\omega_m} \right)^2 \right) + \frac{2}{\omega_m} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{9Z} \frac{\delta_{hi} \omega_{mj}}{\omega_m} \left( \frac{\omega_{mj}}{\omega_m} \right)^2 \right) \right] \\
\frac{2}{\omega_R} \left[ \frac{1}{\omega_R} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{9Z} \frac{\delta_{hi} \omega_{Rj}}{\omega_R} \left( \frac{\omega_{Rj}}{\omega_R} \right)^2 \right) + \frac{2}{\omega_R} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{9Z} \frac{\delta_{hi} \omega_{mj}}{\omega_R} \left( \frac{\omega_{mj}}{\omega_R} \right)^2 \right) \right]
\end{array} \right.
\]

(83)

\[
\frac{d(Y_{Rj})}{d\delta_n} = \left\{ \begin{array}{l}
\frac{2}{\omega_m} \left[ \frac{1}{\omega_m} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{9Z} \frac{\delta_{hi} \omega_{Rj}}{\omega_m} \left( \frac{\omega_{Rj}}{\omega_m} \right)^2 \right) + \frac{2}{\omega_m} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{9Z} \frac{\delta_{hi} \omega_{mj}}{\omega_m} \left( \frac{\omega_{mj}}{\omega_m} \right)^2 \right) \right] \\
\frac{2}{\omega_R} \left[ \frac{1}{\omega_R} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{9Z} \frac{\delta_{hi} \omega_{Rj}}{\omega_R} \left( \frac{\omega_{Rj}}{\omega_R} \right)^2 \right) + \frac{2}{\omega_R} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{9Z} \frac{\delta_{hi} \omega_{mj}}{\omega_R} \left( \frac{\omega_{mj}}{\omega_R} \right)^2 \right) \right]
\end{array} \right.
\]

(84)

\[
\frac{d(Y_{mj})}{d\delta_n} = \left\{ \begin{array}{l}
\frac{2}{\omega_m} \left[ \frac{1}{\omega_m} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{9Z} \frac{\delta_{hi} \omega_{Rj}}{\omega_m} \left( \frac{\omega_{Rj}}{\omega_m} \right)^2 \right) + \frac{2}{\omega_m} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{9Z} \frac{\delta_{hi} \omega_{mj}}{\omega_m} \left( \frac{\omega_{mj}}{\omega_m} \right)^2 \right) \right] \\
\frac{2}{\omega_R} \left[ \frac{1}{\omega_R} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{9Z} \frac{\delta_{hi} \omega_{Rj}}{\omega_R} \left( \frac{\omega_{Rj}}{\omega_R} \right)^2 \right) + \frac{2}{\omega_R} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{9Z} \frac{\delta_{hi} \omega_{mj}}{\omega_R} \left( \frac{\omega_{mj}}{\omega_R} \right)^2 \right) \right]
\end{array} \right.
\]

(85)

\[
\frac{d(Y_{Rj})}{d\delta_n} = \left\{ \begin{array}{l}
\frac{2}{\omega_m} \left[ \frac{1}{\omega_m} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{9Z} \frac{\delta_{hi} \omega_{Rj}}{\omega_m} \left( \frac{\omega_{Rj}}{\omega_m} \right)^2 \right) + \frac{2}{\omega_m} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{9Z} \frac{\delta_{hi} \omega_{mj}}{\omega_m} \left( \frac{\omega_{mj}}{\omega_m} \right)^2 \right) \right] \\
\frac{2}{\omega_R} \left[ \frac{1}{\omega_R} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{9Z} \frac{\delta_{hi} \omega_{Rj}}{\omega_R} \left( \frac{\omega_{Rj}}{\omega_R} \right)^2 \right) + \frac{2}{\omega_R} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{9Z} \frac{\delta_{hi} \omega_{mj}}{\omega_R} \left( \frac{\omega_{mj}}{\omega_R} \right)^2 \right) \right]
\end{array} \right.
\]
Likewise, for the inner race to be stationary,

\[ d(\omega_R/\omega) / d\delta \] is given by (87) with the opposite sign.

The last three equations from (57) must be solved simultaneously for \( \delta_{9Z+1}, \ldots, \delta_{9Z+3} \) after obtaining updated values for: \( \beta_{ij}, \beta_{oj}, K_{ij}, K_{oj}, s_x, s_z, F_{xij}, F_{yij}, F_{xoj}, F_{yoj}, M_{sij}, M_{soj}, M_{Rij}, M_{Roj}, \delta_{IZ_j}, k = 0, \ldots, 8; j = 1, \ldots, Z \). If \( \delta_h^0 \), \( h = 9Z+1, \ldots, 9Z+3 \), is a 3-dimensional vector with the initial estimates of the variables \( \delta_{9Z+1}, \ldots, \delta_{9Z+3} \), in that order, improved values are given by (58), in which \( \epsilon_g \), \( g = 9Z+1, \ldots, 9Z+3 \), is the 3-dimensional vector with the errors functions, in that order, from (57). The elements of the 3×3-matrix \( [a_{gh}] \) are

\[
\frac{\partial a_{gZ+1}}{\partial \delta_h} = \frac{\partial a_{gZ+2}}{\partial \delta_h} = \frac{\partial a_{gZ+3}}{\partial \delta_h} = \sum_{j=1}^{Z} \left\{ \left[ (f_j-0.5) \right] \delta_{IZ_j} \right\} \delta_h \left[ \epsilon_g \right] / \delta_h \]
Differentiating \((16)-(18), (22)-(24)\) and \((27)-(29)\) with respect \(\delta_h, h = 9Z+1, \ldots, 9Z+3,\) \(27Z\) simultaneous linear equations in \(\partial \delta_{Z+1} / \partial \delta_h, k = 0, \ldots, 8; j = 1, \ldots, Z,\) results, which are

\[
\frac{\partial F_{z'j}}{\partial \delta_h} + \frac{\partial F_{xij}}{\partial \delta_h} + \frac{\partial F_{xoj}}{\partial \delta_h} + \frac{\partial F_{yij}}{\partial \delta_h} + \frac{\partial F_{yoj}}{\partial \delta_h} + \frac{\partial M_{sij}}{\partial \delta_h} + \frac{\partial M_{soj}}{\partial \delta_h} + \frac{\partial M_{Rij}}{\partial \delta_h} + \frac{\partial M_{Roj}}{\partial \delta_h} + \frac{\partial M_{y'j}}{\partial \delta_h} = 0,
\]

(96)

\[
\frac{\partial F_{yoj}}{\partial \delta_h} + \frac{\partial F_{yij}}{\partial \delta_h} = 0,
\]

(97)

\[
\frac{\partial M_{y'j}}{\partial \delta_h} = 0.
\]

(98)

\[
\frac{\partial M_{y'j}}{\partial \delta_h} = 0.
\]

(99)

The derivatives of \(F_{z'j}, F_{xij}, F_{xoj}, F_{yij}, F_{yoj}, M_{sij}, M_{soj}, M_{Rij}, M_{Roj}, M_{y'j}, M_{yij}, M_{y'j} \) and \(M_{y'j} \) with respect \(\delta_h, h = 9Z+1, \ldots, 9Z+3,\) to be used in (89)-(100) are given by (68)-(80).
The derivatives of $\gamma_{ij}$, $\gamma_{oj}$ with respect to $\delta_0$, $h = 9Z+1, \ldots, 9Z+3$, to be used in (69)-(76), (78) and (79) are given by (81), with $V_{xij}/\omega_{sij}$, $V_{xij}/\omega_{sij}$, $V_{yij}/\omega_{sij}$ and $V_{yij}/\omega_{sij}$ given by (43)-(46). The derivatives of $V_{xij}/\omega_{sij}$, $V_{yij}/\omega_{sij}$, $V_{yij}/\omega_{sij}$ and $V_{yij}/\omega_{sij}$ with respect to $\delta_0$, $h = 9Z+1, \ldots, 9Z+3$, to be used in (81) are given by (82)-(85).

For outer race to be stationary $\omega_{qj}/\omega$ and $\omega_{K}/\omega$ are given by (33) and (34), and for inner race to be stationary are given by (35) and (34), the last with opposite sign. The derivatives of (33) and (34) with respect to $\delta_0$, $h = 9Z+1, \ldots, 9Z+3$, to be used in (68), (77) and (80) are given by (30) and (31); and for (35)-(34), the last with opposite sign, are given by (32) and (31), the last with opposite sign.

The linear system’s solutions of the equations (92)-(100) – are to be used in (89)-(91) for the new estimates of $\delta_{9Z+1}$, $\delta_{9Z+2}$ and $\delta_{9Z+3}$.
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